

IICA-GCF Green Climate Fund (GCF) Readiness
Support Project

Strengthening the foundation for a climate responsive
agricultural sector in the Caribbean

Stakeholder Engagement Report(draft)

Annex 1: Survey Results

February 08, 2022


Country of Origin of Respondents of the Survey

Original Respondents by Country / Territory:	Reclassification in New Analytical Regions			
	OECS	Other	Suriname	Grand Total
Belize		15		15
Dominica	30			30
Haiti		18		18
Saint Kitts and Nevis	30			30
Saint Lucia	36			36
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	20			20
Suriname			41	41
The Bahamas		20		20
The Bahamas; Caribbean		1		1
Trinidad and Tobago		7		7
USA		1		1
TOTAL	117	62	41	220
<i>Percentage of Respondents</i>	<i>53%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>19%</i>	<i>100%</i>

What is Your Preferred Language

Response	Frequency	Percent
Creole	7	3.2%
Dutch	25	11.4%
English	170	77.3%
French	15	6.8%
Surinamese	3	1.4%
Total	220	100%

How Would You Categorise Your Organisation in the Agricultural/ Climate Change Sector



1.3 Does your Organisation have both male and female members?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes	207	93.7
No	10	4.5
No Answer	1	.5
Independent	1	.5
Not representing an organization	1	.5
This is not membership in terms of an association	1	.5
Total	221	100.0

1.6) Is working with other agencies typically an important part of your professional role in your Organisation?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely involved with other agencies	72	32.7	32.7	32.7
Limited involvement with other agencies	25	11.4	11.4	44.1
No involvement with other agencies	4	1.8	1.8	45.9
Often involved with other agencies	72	32.7	32.7	78.6
Some involvement with other agencies	47	21.4	21.4	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.7) How would you best categorise your organisation in the agriculture/climate change sectors?

Row Labels	Count of
Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhanced farmer coordination	43
Government (national)	35
Science, academia and research centres (College, University, Training institute)	24
Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association)	22
Government (local)	12
Service providers (Consultants- engineering, management)	9
Business (input suppliers, equipment suppliers)	9
International organisations	9
Other umbrella organisations (processor associations, coalitions, Chamber of Commerce etc.)	8
Local financial Institutions (development banks, credit unions)	8
Women Organisations	8
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS)	7
Donors	4
Government (regional)	2
NGO	2
Indigenous Peoples	1
Regional research institution	1
Non-profit, non-government organisation	1
Agri business	1
Floral Cooperative Society	1
Farmer	1
Non profit / Independent	1
Consultant	1
Farming	1
National NGO	1
Fisheries	1
Traditionally family business	1
Social Enterprise	1
umbrella organisation of Indigenous Peoples - advocacy orga - Human Rights Based orga	1
Environmental and biocultural conservation	1
Gender Coordination	1
Non governmental organization for community development for hinterland	1
Non Government Organization	1
Grand Total	220

1.8a) To what extent are YOU involved in processes, projects, programmes, activities linked to the GCF?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	85	38.6	38.6	38.6
	2	44	20.0	20.0	58.6
	3	41	18.6	18.6	77.3
	4	33	15.0	15.0	92.3
	5	17	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.8b) To what extent are YOU involved in other broader climate change processes, for example the NDCs, NAPs or other efforts to address climate change in your country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	61	27.7	27.7	27.7
	2	52	23.6	23.6	51.4
	3	53	24.1	24.1	75.5
	4	29	13.2	13.2	88.6
	5	25	11.4	11.4	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.9a) Has your ORGANISATION been involved in any GCF-financed projects/programmes/activities? (ASKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT GCF HERE.) If NO, SKIP

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Doam is listed as a beneficiary in at least 3 GCF approved project to be implemented in Dominica, DOMCREP being one of them.	1	.5	.5	.5
	Do not know/Not sure	40	18.2	18.2	18.6
	No	137	62.3	62.3	80.9
	Partnering with other Ministries	1	.5	.5	81.4
	Yes	41	18.6	18.6	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.9b) If yes, please describe the main role typically played by your organisation in GCF-financed projects. (ASKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT GCF HERE.)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		179	81.4	81.4	81.4
	Building political acceptability (e.g. MP/Minister)	1	.5	.5	81.8
	Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	2	.9	.9	82.7
	Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution)	3	1.4	1.4	84.1
	Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	.5	.5	84.5
	Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Providing funds for investment (e.g. development bank)	1	.5	.5	85.0
	Intra-Ministerial assistance with valued information for the Implementing Entity	1	.5	.5	85.5

Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	.5	.5	85.9
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder)	22	10.0	10.0	95.9
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	1	.5	.5	96.4
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution)	3	1.4	1.4	97.7
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	1	.5	.5	98.2
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Building political acceptability (e.g. MP/Minister)	1	.5	.5	98.6
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	.5	.5	99.1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Dr Rihanna Neely was instrumental in activities related thereto	1	.5	.5	99.5
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.9c) What is the nature of your involvement in GCF-financed projects?

Responses	Count
Consultation	9
Consultation; Information dissemination	2
Consultation; Prioritization processes	3
Do not know/Not sure	2
I am not directly involved in any GCF projects	1
Information dissemination	5
monitoring implementation of GCF funded projects	1
Observing gender considerations	1
Participation is currently at a preliminary stage. The bank is a recipient of a GCF readiness grant and is in the process of implementing a readiness project to obtain accreditation. The bank will also be participating in a GCF project - Transforming Finance to Unlock Climate Action in the Caribbean	1
Primary data source in concept formulation	1
Prioritization processes	4
Training	4
Training; Consultation; Information dissemination	2
Training; Consultation; Prioritization processes; Information dissemination	2
Training; grant award selection Monitoring and evaluation	1
Training; Information dissemination	2
Grand Total	41

1.9d) Are Agricultural SECTOR PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO NATIONAL climate change priorities in their design and implementation phases?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	179	81.4	81.4	81.4
Don't know / Not Sure	2	.9	.9	82.3
Extremely incorporated	12	5.5	5.5	87.7
Limited incorporation	1	.5	.5	88.2
Not incorporated	2	.9	.9	89.1
Often incorporated	13	5.9	5.9	95.0
Some incorporation	11	5.0	5.0	100.0

Total	220	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Review of Responses by Region

1.9d) Are Agricultural SECTOR PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO NATIONAL climate change priorities in their design and implementation phases? * Recoded country Crosstabulation

Count

SECTOR PRIORITIES	BY REGION			Total	Percent
	OECS	Other	Suriname		
Often incorporated	6	7	0	13	31.7%
Extremely incorporated	8	4	0	12	29.3%
Some incorporation	6	4	1	11	26.8%
Don't know / Not Sure	1	0	1	2	4.9%
Not incorporated	2	0	0	2	4.9%
Limited incorporation	0	1	0	1	2.4%
Total Respondents	23	16	2	41	

1.9e) Are NATIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO agricultural programmes, activities and strategies? implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	179	81.4	81.4	81.4
Extremely incorporated	6	2.7	2.7	84.1
Limited incorporation	5	2.3	2.3	86.4
Not incorporated	1	.5	.5	86.8
Often incorporated	15	6.8	6.8	93.6
Some incorporation	14	6.4	6.4	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.9f) In your opinion, how important is your organisation's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing GCF-related activities?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	1	.5	2.4	2.4
2	4	1.8	9.8	12.2
3	4	1.8	9.8	22.0
4	17	7.7	41.5	63.4
5	15	6.8	36.6	100.0
Total	41	18.6	100.0	
Missing System	179	81.4		
Total	220	100.0		

1.10) At what stage(s) does your organisation typically get involved in projects/programmes/activities in both climate change and agriculture?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Consultation and validation	1	.5	.5	.5
Development / Deliberation	11	5.0	5.0	5.5
Development / Deliberation;grievances	1	.5	.5	5.9
Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation	8	3.6	3.6	9.5
Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	1	.5	.5	10.0
Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Training	3	1.4	1.4	11.4
Development / Deliberation;Training	5	2.3	2.3	13.6
Do not know/Not sure	27	12.3	12.3	25.9
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies)	34	15.5	15.5	41.4
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation	3	1.4	1.4	42.7
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Evaluation (including monitoring)	2	.9	.9	43.6
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	1	.5	.5	44.1
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Training	2	.9	.9	45.0
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation	12	5.5	5.5	50.5
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring)	2	.9	.9	51.4
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing)	5	2.3	2.3	53.6
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	14	6.4	6.4	60.0
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Training	4	1.8	1.8	61.8
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	1	.5	.5	62.3
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation;Training	8	3.6	3.6	65.9
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Training	1	.5	.5	66.4
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Evaluation (including monitoring);Training	2	.9	.9	67.3
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation	2	.9	.9	68.2
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	2	.9	.9	69.1
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Training	1	.5	.5	69.5
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Throughout the process (oversight, financing)	1	.5	.5	70.0
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	1	.5	.5	70.5
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Training	6	2.7	2.7	73.2
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Throughout the process (oversight, financing)	1	.5	.5	73.6
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Training	4	1.8	1.8	75.5
Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Training;Do not know/Not sure	1	.5	.5	75.9
Evaluation (including monitoring)	1	.5	.5	76.4
If only requested or required to	1	.5	.5	76.8

Implementation / Operation	19	8.6	8.6	85.5
Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring)	3	1.4	1.4	86.8
Implementation / Operation;Evaluation (including monitoring);Throughout the process (oversight, financing);Training	1	.5	.5	87.3
Implementation / Operation;Training	8	3.6	3.6	90.9
Implementation / Operation;Training;as participant	1	.5	.5	91.4
Mostly consultation and offering resources in partnerships since no major agriculture + climate change project has been undertaken to my knowledge	1	.5	.5	91.8
No involvement	1	.5	.5	92.3
None	1	.5	.5	92.7
Research	1	.5	.5	93.2
Throughout the process (oversight, financing)	2	.9	.9	94.1
Training	12	5.5	5.5	99.5
we share information with organizations	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.13) In your opinion, how important is your organisation's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	11	5.0	5.0	5.0
2	17	7.7	7.7	12.7
3	42	19.1	19.1	31.8
4	51	23.2	23.2	55.0
5	99	45.0	45.0	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

1.14a) How would you describe your organisation's perspective or stance in addressing climate change in the agricultural sector, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Do not know/Not sure	6	2.7	2.7	2.7
Leading	28	12.7	12.7	15.5
Neutral	15	6.8	6.8	22.3
Our organic farm is not of interest to most political or politically mediated forums.	1	.5	.5	22.7
Resistant	1	.5	.5	23.2
Supportive	161	73.2	73.2	96.4
The information presented will have to be relevant	1	.5	.5	96.8
Unaware	6	2.7	2.7	99.5
Unaware of project development but still supportive when engaged.	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

3.1) What are the top 3 words that you and others in your organisation most often associate with stakeholder engagement in climate change processes, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Awareness;Capacity	3	1.4	1.4	1.4
Awareness;Capacity;Dialogue	19	8.6	8.6	10.0
Awareness;Capacity;Failures	3	1.4	1.4	11.4
Awareness;Capacity;Formal	1	.5	.5	11.8
Awareness;Capacity;Inclusive	23	10.5	10.5	22.3
Awareness;Capacity;Informal	2	.9	.9	23.2
Awareness;Capacity;involvement generally ends after consultations	1	.5	.5	23.6
Awareness;Capacity;Knowledge	56	25.5	25.5	49.1
Awareness;Capacity;mind shift	1	.5	.5	49.5
Awareness;Capacity;Success	5	2.3	2.3	51.8
Awareness;Capacity;Top-down	3	1.4	1.4	53.2
Awareness;Dialogue	1	.5	.5	53.6
Awareness;Dialogue;Failures	2	.9	.9	54.5
Awareness;Dialogue;Formal	1	.5	.5	55.0
Awareness;Dialogue;Inclusive	5	2.3	2.3	57.3
Awareness;Dialogue;Informal	1	.5	.5	57.7
Awareness;Dialogue;Knowledge	11	5.0	5.0	62.7
Awareness;Dialogue;relevance	1	.5	.5	63.2
Awareness;Dialogue;Success	1	.5	.5	63.6
Awareness;Dialogue;Top-down	2	.9	.9	64.5
Awareness;Failures;Knowledge	3	1.4	1.4	65.9
Awareness;Formal;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	66.4
Awareness;Formal;Success	1	.5	.5	66.8
Awareness;Formal;Top-down	3	1.4	1.4	68.2
Awareness;Inclusive;Informal	1	.5	.5	68.6
Awareness;Inclusive;Knowledge	3	1.4	1.4	70.0
Awareness;Inclusive;Top-down	1	.5	.5	70.5
Awareness;Knowledge;citizen science	1	.5	.5	70.9
Capacity	2	.9	.9	71.8
Capacity;Dialogue;Inclusive	3	1.4	1.4	73.2
Capacity;Dialogue;Informal	1	.5	.5	73.6
Capacity;Dialogue;Knowledge	5	2.3	2.3	75.9
Capacity;Dialogue;Success	1	.5	.5	76.4
Capacity;Failures;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	76.8
Capacity;Failures;Success	1	.5	.5	77.3
Capacity;Formal;Inclusive	1	.5	.5	77.7
Capacity;Formal;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	78.2
Capacity;Inclusive;Knowledge	5	2.3	2.3	80.5
Capacity;Inclusive;Success	1	.5	.5	80.9
Capacity;Informal;Success	1	.5	.5	81.4
Capacity;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	81.8
Capacity;Knowledge;Research	1	.5	.5	82.3
Capacity;Knowledge;Success	1	.5	.5	82.7
climate change, loans and governments	1	.5	.5	83.2
Dialogue	1	.5	.5	83.6

Dialogue;Failures;Informal	1	.5	.5	84.1
Dialogue;Formal;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	84.5
Dialogue;Formal;Success	2	.9	.9	85.5
Dialogue;Inclusive;Knowledge	3	1.4	1.4	86.8
Dialogue;Knowledge	1	.5	.5	87.3
Dialogue;Knowledge;Top-down	1	.5	.5	87.7
Do not know/Not sure	20	9.1	9.1	96.8
Failures;Top-down;late	1	.5	.5	97.3
Failures;Top-down;Not inclusive enough.	1	.5	.5	97.7
Formal;Inclusive;Top-down	1	.5	.5	98.2
Formal;Knowledge;Top-down	1	.5	.5	98.6
Informal	1	.5	.5	99.1
Networking, assistance, framework	1	.5	.5	99.5
Top-down;faulty, change-averse	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

RECODED 3.2a) Which stakeholder groups or organizations do you think have the most influence on *decision making* at consultations/meetings linked to agriculture and climate change, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Community	24	10.9	10.9	10.9
International	61	27.7	27.7	38.6
National	75	34.1	34.1	72.7
not sure	2	.9	.9	73.6
Regional	1	.5	.5	74.1
Sub Regional	57	25.9	25.9	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

RECODED 3.2b Why do you think these stakeholder groups or organisations have such an important impact on the process?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Co-ercive Power	2	.9	.9	.9
Do Not Know	5	2.3	2.3	3.2
Information Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	3.6
Informational Power	4	1.8	1.8	5.5
Informational Power & Reward Power	1	.5	.5	5.9
Informational Power & Communication & Trust	1	.5	.5	6.4
Informational Power & Expert Power	3	1.4	1.4	7.7
Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	8.2
Informational Power & Reward Power	7	3.2	3.2	11.4
Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	11.8
Knowledge	1	.5	.5	12.3
Legitimate Power	6	2.7	2.7	15.0
Legitimate Power & Informational Power	6	2.7	2.7	17.7
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	18.2
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	18.6
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	19.1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	7	3.2	3.2	22.3
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	22.7
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	5	2.3	2.3	25.0
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	6	2.7	2.7	27.7
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust & Knowledge	1	.5	.5	28.2
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	28.6
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	29.1
Legitimate Power & Reward Powe & Expert Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	29.5
Legitimate Power & Reward Power	5	2.3	2.3	31.8
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	3	1.4	1.4	33.2
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	33.6
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power	3	1.4	1.4	35.0
Personal Power	10	4.5	4.5	39.5
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	40.0
Personal Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power	1	.5	.5	40.5
Personal Power & Information Power	1	.5	.5	40.9
Personal Power & Information Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	41.4
Personal Power & Informational Power	3	1.4	1.4	42.7
Personal Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	43.2
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	1	.5	.5	43.6
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	3	1.4	1.4	45.0
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	45.5
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	45.9
Personal Power & Legitimate Power	4	1.8	1.8	47.7
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Charismatic power	1	.5	.5	48.2
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	3	1.4	1.4	49.5
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Inforamtional Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	50.0
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power	7	3.2	3.2	53.2
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	53.6
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	7	3.2	3.2	56.8
Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & expert Power	1	.5	.5	57.3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	5	2.3	2.3	59.5
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	3	1.4	1.4	60.9

Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	61.4
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power	1	.5	.5	61.8
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	62.3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	62.7
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	1	.5	.5	63.2
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Capital & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	63.6
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	8	3.6	3.6	67.3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	67.7
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power	3	1.4	1.4	69.1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Trust	2	.9	.9	70.0
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	1	.5	.5	70.5
Personal Power & Reward Power	26	11.8	11.8	82.3
Personal Power & Reward Power & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	82.7
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	83.2
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	7	3.2	3.2	86.4
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power &Trust & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	86.8
Personal Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power	1	.5	.5	87.3
Personal Power & Reward Power & Referent Power	1	.5	.5	87.7
Personal Reward Power	16	7.3	7.3	95.0
Reward Power & Informational Power	1	.5	.5	95.5
Reward Power & Informational Power & Charismatic Power	1	.5	.5	95.9
Reward Power & Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	96.4
Reward Power & Do Not Know	1	.5	.5	96.8
Reward Power & Expert Power	2	.9	.9	97.7
Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	1	.5	.5	98.2
Reward Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	98.6
Reward Power & Informational Power	2	.9	.9	99.5
Reward Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.1) Communication Method: Climate change processes typically use the right methods of communication (social media, radio, town crier) and ensure they are appropriate to my stakeholder group.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	9	4.1	4.1	4.1
2	47	21.4	21.4	25.5
3	103	46.8	46.8	72.3
4	42	19.1	19.1	91.4
5	19	8.6	8.6	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.2) Communication Content: Climate change processes typically engage stakeholders at the appropriate level (information is easily understood and clear).

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	6	2.7	2.7	2.7
2	47	21.4	21.4	24.1
3	96	43.6	43.6	67.7
4	52	23.6	23.6	91.4
5	19	8.6	8.6	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.3) Transparency of project governance structures: Typically, projects clearly explain the decision making process and promote shared understanding of objectives.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	7	3.2	3.2	3.2
	2	42	19.1	19.1	22.3
	3	88	40.0	40.0	62.3
	4	52	23.6	23.6	85.9
	5	31	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.4) Transparency of information: Typically, projects provide accurate and prompt reports (progress, status updates, key decisions, minutes).

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	7	3.2	3.2	3.2
	2	36	16.4	16.4	19.5
	3	91	41.4	41.4	60.9
	4	58	26.4	26.4	87.3
	5	28	12.7	12.7	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.5) Relevance of information: Projects typically provide information and analysis that is technically sound and relevant for my organisation or stakeholder group.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	8	3.6	3.6	3.6
	2	44	20.0	20.0	23.6
	3	115	52.3	52.3	75.9
	4	38	17.3	17.3	93.2
	5	15	6.8	6.8	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.6) Information Feedback Process: Projects typically provide opportunities for organisations to ask questions, seek clarification and make a contribution.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	10	4.5	4.5	4.5
	2	47	21.4	21.4	25.9
	3	97	44.1	44.1	70.0
	4	47	21.4	21.4	91.4
	5	19	8.6	8.6	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.7 Allocation of time for Information exchange: Projects typically allocate sufficient time for issues to be formally raised and addressed, and clearly articulate deadlines for stakeholder review and responses.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	2	.9	.9	.9
	2	39	17.7	17.7	18.6
	3	107	48.6	48.6	67.3
	4	54	24.5	24.5	91.8
	5	18	8.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.8) Honouring of Timelines: Projects typically provide information within the agreed timelines.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	7	3.2	3.2	3.2
	2	28	12.7	12.7	15.9
	3	112	50.9	50.9	66.8
	4	56	25.5	25.5	92.3
	5	17	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

4.9) Representation and decision making authority of minority stakeholders in the Agricultural Sector and Climate change processes typically ensure representation in Agricultural Sector (of women, marginalised groups, and Indigenous Peoples).

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	11	5.0	5.0	5.0
	2	34	15.5	15.5	20.5
	3	92	41.8	41.8	62.3
	4	52	23.6	23.6	85.9
	5	31	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	220	100.0	100.0	

5.1) In your opinion, which of the following conditions is the most important for effective engagement of your organisation as a stakeholder?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Ability to take decisions	11	5.0	5.0	5.0
Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them	41	18.6	18.6	23.6
Cultural appropriate & this includes several of above mentioned	1	.5	.5	24.1
Do not know/Not sure	12	5.5	5.5	29.5
Engagement as equal partner in PLANNING as well as feedback and implementation	1	.5	.5	30.0
Financial resources to support the process and outcomes	46	20.9	20.9	50.9
Human resources available to ensure proper engagement (staff, skills, expertise, capacity development)	37	16.8	16.8	67.7
I have chosen All of the above as very important for effective engagement.	1	.5	.5	68.2
Infrastructure and technology in place to ensure effective engagement (space, technical support tools)	8	3.6	3.6	71.8
Integration and responsiveness to stakeholder expectations and interests (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	13	5.9	5.9	77.7
Legal framework setting the rules for action	5	2.3	2.3	80.0
Neutrality of the process	7	3.2	3.2	83.2
open communication channels so persons can be updated of progress	1	.5	.5	83.6
Quality and accessibility to timely information on issues and process	6	2.7	2.7	86.4
Research	1	.5	.5	86.8
Sufficient time to manage the process and contribute effectively	12	5.5	5.5	92.3
The opportunity to voice the group's opinion and have our concerns addressed and to have the culture of the group understood.	1	.5	.5	92.7
Trust between persons involved	10	4.5	4.5	97.3
Willingness to contribute of other stakeholders (sense of community, trust)	6	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

5-1 RECODE In your opinion, which of the following conditions is the most important for effective engagement of your organisation as a stakeholder

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid ALL	1	.5	.5	.5
Authority	12	5.5	5.5	5.9
Communication	3	1.4	1.4	7.3
Do Not Know	12	5.5	5.5	12.7
Financial Capital	46	20.9	20.9	33.6
Human Capital	37	16.8	16.8	50.5
Knowledge	1	.5	.5	50.9
Power	48	21.8	21.8	72.7
Power & Authority	18	8.2	8.2	80.9
Technology	8	3.6	3.6	84.5
Time	18	8.2	8.2	92.7
Trust	16	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

5.2) Would you describe the typical stakeholder engagement process to be a more top down or bottom up approach? (For projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	41	18.6	18.6	18.6
2	68	30.9	30.9	49.5
3	77	35.0	35.0	84.5
4	25	11.4	11.4	95.9
5	9	4.1	4.1	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

5.3a) Have you been involved in any projects/programmes/activities that you believe successfully engaged stakeholders? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Do not know/Not sure	28	12.7	12.7	12.7
Maybe	26	11.8	11.8	24.5
No	87	39.5	39.5	64.1
Yes	79	35.9	35.9	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

5.3C RECODE) In your opinion, why were those stakeholder engagements successful

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	1.4	1.4	1.4
Do Not Know	16	7.3	7.3	8.6
External Factors	4	1.8	1.8	10.5
Internal Factors	40	18.2	18.2	28.6
Internal-External Nexus	54	24.5	24.5	53.2
No Response	90	40.9	40.9	94.1
Other	13	5.9	5.9	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

6.1) Which option best describes the role of major agencies involved in projects/programmes/activities in stakeholder engagement in climate change processes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Consultations might take place but without proper reporting back - IPO has no part in decision taking	1	.5	.5	.5
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders informed but not consulted	46	20.9	20.9	21.4
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders neither consulted nor informed	16	7.3	7.3	28.6
design a methodological strategy for stakeholder engagement which is participatory inclusive and results driven	1	.5	.5	29.1
Do not know/Not sure	34	15.5	15.5	44.5
Efforts are made to gain Input and consensus from stakeholders before making decisions and taking actions	75	34.1	34.1	78.6
Efforts are made to look like project leaders are interested in Input and consensus from stakeholders before making decisions and taking actions. In fact, opportunities for stakeholders to make meaningful contributions are limited and controlled by leaders who already know what they want and will decide. Stakeholders need to be involved in PLANNING stage, in determining goals and objectives and how these will be measured.	1	.5	.5	79.1
Formal collaboration takes place with agreements signed that share authority and responsibility for making decisions and taking actions	35	15.9	15.9	95.0
Informal partnerships takes place based on shared authority and responsibility for making decisions and taking actions	10	4.5	4.5	99.5
The organisation should be notified and informed prior and it should be in time	1	.5	.5	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

6.2 Which option best describes how you would like to see stakeholder engagement in future projects/programmes/activities? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

RESPONSES	COUNT	Percent
Efforts are made to gain Input and consensus from stakeholders before making decisions and taking actions	94	42.7%
Formal collaboration takes place with agreements signed that share authority and responsibility for making decisions and taking actions	86	39.1%
Do not know/Not sure	17	7.7%
Informal partnerships takes place based on shared authority and responsibility for making decisions and taking actions	16	7.3%
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders informed but not consulted	2	0.9%
I think either formal or informal partnerships could work. Just thinking informal might be more appropriate for farmers, while formal is more appropriate for organizations/co-ops etc	1	0.5%
Stakeholders participate on equal par with funded project employees at all stages of project development and implementation. And, yes, that means some fair stipends for taking people away from the usual work that supports them.	1	0.5%
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders neither consulted nor informed	1	0.5%
The organisation should be notified and informed prior and it should be in time	1	0.5%
FPIC (protocols of Indigenous peoples=rights holders) must be used	1	0.5%
Grand Total	220	100.0%

7.1b) If we have not already spoken to this person/organisation, would it be okay to let them know that you recommended we talk to them?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	56	25.5	25.5	25.5
No, please keep the referral anonymous.	50	22.7	22.7	48.2
Yes, you may let them know I recommended them.	114	51.8	51.8	100.0
Total	220	100.0	100.0	

Descriptive Statistics For Selected Questions with Scaled Responses

Question ID	Full Question Description	N	MIN	MAX	Mean	Std. Deviation
1.8a	1.8a) To what extent are YOU involved in processes, projects, programmes, activities linked to the GCF?	220	1	5	2.33	1.329
1.8b	1.8b) To what extent are YOU involved in other broader climate change processes, for example the NDCs, NAPs or other efforts to address climate change in your country?	220	1	5	2.57	1.324
1.9f	1.9f) In your opinion, how important is your organisation's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing GCF-related activities?	41	1	5	4.00	1.049
1.13	1.13) In your opinion, how important is your organisation's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects?	220	1	5	3.95	1.185
4.1	4.1) Communication Method: Climate change processes typically use the right methods of communication (social media, radio, town crier) and ensure they are appropriate to my stakeholder group.	220	1	5	3.07	.956
4.2	4.2) Communication Content: Climate change processes typically engage stakeholders at the appropriate level (information is easily understood and clear).	220	1	5	3.14	.943
4.3	4.3) Transparency of project governance structures: Typically, projects clearly explain the decision making process and promote shared understanding of objectives.	220	1	5	3.26	1.026
4.4	4.4) Transparency of information: Typically, projects provide accurate and prompt reports (progress, status updates, key decisions, minutes).	220	1	5	3.29	.992
4.5	4.5) Relevance of information: Projects typically provide information and analysis that is technically sound and relevant for my organisation or stakeholder group.	220	1	5	3.04	.891
4.6	4.6) Information Feedback Process: Projects typically provide opportunities for organisations to ask questions, seek clarification and make a contribution.	220	1	5	3.08	.976
4.7	4.7) Allocation of time for Information exchange: Projects typically allocate sufficient time for issues to be formally raised and addressed, and clearly articulate deadlines for stakeholder review and responses.	220	1	5	3.21	.863
4.8	4.8) Honouring of Timelines: Projects typically provide information within the agreed timelines.	220	1	5	3.22	.880
4.9	4.9) Representation and decision making authority of minority stakeholders in the Agricultural Sector and Climate change processes typically ensure representation in Agricultural Sector (of women, marginalised groups, and Indigenous Peoples).	220	1	5	3.26	1.044
5.2	5.2) Would you describe the typical stakeholder engagement process to be a more "top down" or "bottom up" approach? (For projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)	220	1	5	2.51	1.049
	<i>NUMBER OF VALID RESPONDENTS TO SKIPPED QUESTIONS</i>	41				

GENDER AND RESPONDENTS

CROSSTAB 1.2 RECODE Sector Rep * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity

SECTOR CLASSIFICATION	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total	Percentage Of Sector
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say		
Agriculture & Climate Change	0	7	7	0	0	14	6.4%
Agriculture Plus	0	6	7	1	2	16	7.3%
Agriculture	0	9	19	0	0	28	12.7%
Climate Change	0	42	8	2	3	55	25.0%
Other	1	48	51	2	5	107	48.6%
TOTAL	1	112	92	5	10	220	
Percentage of Gender Grouping	0.5%	50.9%	41.8%	2.3%	4.5%		

CROSSTAB 1.2 RECODE Sector Rep * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity by Percent of Group Membership

SECTOR CLASSIFICATION	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?				
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say
Agriculture & Climate Change	0.0%	6.3%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Agriculture Plus	0.0%	5.4%	7.6%	20.0%	20.0%
Agriculture	0.0%	8.0%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Climate Change	0.0%	37.5%	8.7%	40.0%	30.0%
Other	100.0%	42.9%	55.4%	40.0%	50.0%

CROSSTAB - 1.4) Does your organisation have a gender policy? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
No	1	60	54	3	6	124
Yes	0	30	28	2	1	61
Do not know	0	14	10	0	3	27
Advocate for gender mainstreaming	0	1	0	0	0	1
Currently being developed	0	1	0	0	0	1
focus strengthening youth and women	0	1	0	0	0	1
in progress	0	1	0	0	0	1
In the process	0	1	0	0	0	1
no written policy document. We incorporate and gender in our work and projects	0	1	0	0	0	1
Not a formally published policy, but we do work to be inclusive of both genders.	0	1	0	0	0	1
Not defined	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

1.6) CROSSTAB - Is working with other agencies typically an important part of your professional role in your organisation? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? Crosstabulation

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Extremely involved with other agencies	0	34	35	3	0	72
Limited involvement with other agencies	1	13	8	0	3	25
No involvement with other agencies	0	2	1	0	1	4
Often involved with other agencies	0	35	34	1	2	72
Some involvement with other agencies	0	28	14	1	4	47
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

1.6) CROSSTAB - Is working with other agencies typically an important part of your professional role in your organisation? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? by Percentage of Respondents

	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity ? (as a % of Group)				
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say
Extremely involved with other agencies	0%	30%	38%	60%	0%
Limited involvement with other agencies	100%	12%	9%	0%	30%
No involvement with other agencies	0%	2%	1%	0%	10%
Often involved with other agencies	0%	31%	37%	20%	20%
Some involvement with other agencies	0%	25%	15%	20%	40%

1.9a) CROSSTAB your ORGANISATION been involved in any GCF-financed projects/programmes/activities? X 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSE	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Doam is listed as a beneficiary in at least 3 GCF approved project to be implemented in Dominica, DOMCREP being one of them.	0	0	1	0	0	1
Partnering with other Ministries	0	1	0	0	0	1
Do not know/Not sure	0	20	18	1	1	40
Yes	0	19	19	2	1	41
No	1	72	54	2	8	137
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

**CROSSTAB - 1.6) Is working with other agencies typically an important part of your professional role in your organisation? * 1.5)
How would you describe your gender identity?**

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Extremely involved with other agencies	0	34	35	3	0	72
Limited involvement with other agencies	1	13	8	0	3	25
No involvement with other agencies	0	2	1	0	1	4
Often involved with other agencies	0	35	34	1	2	72
Some involvement with other agencies	0	28	14	1	4	47
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

1.9b) If yes, please describe the main role typically played by your organisation in GCF-financed projects. (ASKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT GCF HERE.) * 1.5)

How would you describe your gender identity? Crosstabulation

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
SKIPPED	1	93	73	3	9	179
Building political acceptability (e.g. MP/Minister)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution)	0	3	0	0	0	3
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	0	0	1	0	0	1
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Providing funds for investment (e.g. development bank)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Intra-Ministerial assistance with valued information for the Implementing Entity	0	0	1	0	0	1
Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	0	0	1	0	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder)	0	11	9	1	1	22
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution)	0	2	1	0	0	3
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	0	0	0	1	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Building political acceptability (e.g. MP/Minister)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	0	1	0	0	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Dr Rihanna Neely was instrumental in activities related thereto	0	1	0	0	0	1
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	0	0	1	0	0	1

Total	1	112	92	5	10	220
-------	---	-----	----	---	----	-----

1.9c) What is the nature of your involvement in GCF-financed projects? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? Crosstabulation

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
SKIPPED	1	93	73	3	9	179
Consultation	0	3	6	0	0	9
Consultation;Information dissemination	0	1	1	0	0	2
Consultation;Prioritization proceses	0	2	1	0	0	3
Do not know/Not sure	0	1	1	0	0	2
I am not directly involved in any GCF projects	0	1	0	0	0	1
Information dissemination	0	2	1	2	0	5
monitoring implementation of GCFfunded projects	0	1	0	0	0	1
observing gender considerations	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participation is currently at a preliminary stage. The bank is a recipient of a GCF readiness grant and is in the process of implementing a readiness project to obtain accreditation. The bank will also be participating in a GCF project - Transforming Finance to Unlock Climate Action in the Caribbean	0	0	1	0	0	1
primary data source in concept formulation	0	0	1	0	0	1
Prioritization proceses	0	1	3	0	0	4
Training	0	1	2	0	1	4
Training;Consultation;Information dissemination	0	1	1	0	0	2
Training;Consultation;Prioritization proceses;Information dissemination	0	1	1	0	0	2
Training;grant award selection Monitoring and evaluation	0	1	0	0	0	1
Training;Information dissemination	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 1.9d) Are Agricultural SECTOR PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO NATIONAL climate change priorities in their design and implementation phases? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSE	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Don't know / Not Sure	1	93	73	3	9	179
Extremely incorporated	0	1	1	0	0	2
Limited incorporation	0	6	4	1	1	12
Not incorporated	0	0	1	0	0	1
Often incorporated	0	1	1	0	0	2
Some incorporation	0	6	7	0	0	13
Total	0	5	5	1	0	11
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 1.9e) Are NATIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO agricultural programmes, activities and strategies? implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSE	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
	1	93	73	3	9	179
Extremely incorporated	0	5	1	0	0	6
Limited incorporation	0	1	4	0	0	5
Not incorporated	0	0	1	0	0	1
Often incorporated	0	7	7	1	0	15
Some incorporation	0	6	6	1	1	14
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 1.9f) In your opinion, how important is your organisation's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing GCF-related activities? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RANKING	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?				Total
	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	1	0	0	1
2.0	0	3	0	1	4
3.0	1	3	0	0	4
4.0	10	6	1	0	17
5.0	8	6	1	0	15
Total	19	19	2	1	41

CROSSTAB - 1.14a) How would you describe your organisation's perspective or stance in addressing climate change in the agricultural sector, or if relevant GCF projects? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Supportive	1	82	69	2	7	161
Leading	0	10	15	2	1	28
Neutral	0	8	5	1	1	15
Do not know/Not sure	0	5	1	0	0	6
Unaware	0	4	2	0	0	6
Our organic farm is not of interest to most political or politically mediated forums.	0	1	0	0	0	1
Resistant	0	0	0	0	1	1
The information presented will have to be relevant	0	1	0	0	0	1
Unaware of project development but still supportive when engaged.	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 1.14b In your opinion, what factors are responsible for this stance of your organisation or stakeholder group mentioned above)? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES (PART 1)	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Do not know/Not sure	0	20	11	0	2	33
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement	0	11	12	0	3	26
Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	5	2	0	0	7
Resistance to change	0	4	2	0	0	6
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue• (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	4	1	0	0	5
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process	0	1	2	0	2	5
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	4	0	0	0	4
Stakeholder consultation fatigue•(difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	3	0	0	1	4
Lack of political will and leadership	0	0	1	1	1	3
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement	1	2	0	0	0	3
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	3	0	0	3
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement	0	1	2	0	0	3
Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	2	1	0	0	3
Friends of leaders over-represented	0	0	2	0	0	2
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Lack of political will and leadership;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	1	1	0	0	2
Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Lack of time	0	1	1	0	0	2
Lack of time;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	2	0	0	0	2
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	2	0	0	2
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership	0	1	1	0	0	2
Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	2	0	0	2
No Response	0	1	0	0	0	1
Agriculture should be a priority on the climate change agenda, as it is critical to food security in these challenging times	0	1	0	0	0	1
Best practices of climate change adaptation can contribute to conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity for the recent and future generations.	0	1	0	0	0	1
Continuous activities in food security and reforestation	0	0	1	0	0	1
Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples);Financial impacts of Climate risk (The response options here seem inappropriate to the question which was asked)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Do not understand this question	0	1	0	0	0	1
Friends of leaders over-represented;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Friends of leaders over-represented;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Government mandate	0	0	1	0	0	1
I am unclear with this question	0	1	0	0	0	1
It plays a role in our mandate as a department to engage in any environment related activities and play specific roles in supporting works under the GCF	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement; Friends of leaders over-represented	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1

CROSSTAB - 1.14b In your opinion, what factors are responsible for this stance of your organisation or stakeholder group mentioned above)? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES (PART 2)	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Lack of political will and leadership;education can lead to behavior change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time; Friends of leaders over-represented;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	0	0	1	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of political will and leadership;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of time;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of time;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of time;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of time;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
members adapt to climate change factors	0	1	0	0	0	1
Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
Need to take responsibility to improve resilience of the business sector	0	0	0	1	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Friends of leaders over-represented;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples);we are IPO and 'many others' do not engage with us or only for consultations and flag that they spoke with us	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Inadequate information on funding/financing availability; Difficulty to access funding	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	0	1	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1

CROSSTAB - 1.14b In your opinion, what factors are responsible for this stance of your organisation or stakeholder group mentioned above)? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES (PART 3)	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership; Friends of leaders over-represented;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Elite "capture"(friends of leaders over-represented);Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Elite "capture"(friends of leaders over-represented);Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples);Consultations we have attended are basically opportunities for lectures by those in authority, not for listening or sharing influence/ideas.	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder consultation fatigue(difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of time;Friends of leaders over-represented;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.)	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of political will and leadership;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of time	0	0	0	0	1	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of time;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1

CROSSTAB - 1.14b In your opinion, what factors are responsible for this stance of your organisation or stakeholder group mentioned above)? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES (PART 4)	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change	0	1	0	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders;Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Non consultation at project development stage.	0	1	0	0	0	1
none of the options are suitable for the answer selected	0	1	0	0	0	1
Not Applicable	0	1	0	0	0	1
Not usually contacted in concept note development stage	0	1	0	0	0	1
Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	0	1	0	0	1
Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staff, shifting priorities etc.);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Research	0	1	0	0	0	1
Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Misaligned objectives of stakeholders	0	1	0	0	0	1
Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change	0	0	1	0	0	1
Stakeholder consultation fatigue (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Technical support	0	0	0	1	0	1
The institution's mandate requires that it be a leader in addressing agri-related issues.	0	0	1	0	0	1
There is interest	0	0	1	0	0	1
these are all negative. I hold a positive stance.	0	0	1	0	0	1
We are positive on addressing climate change in the agricultural sector	0	1	0	0	0	1
we are supportive	0	1	0	0	0	1
We are supportive of climate change mitigation and adaption as we have tied our strategic objectives to the SDGs which implicitly prioritize long term sustainability	0	1	0	0	0	1
we have identified in the positive; Drivers are primarily market and legislatively driven.	0	0	1	0	0	1
Your options do not apply.	0	1	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	112	92	5	10	220

3.1) What are the top 3 words that you and others in your organisation most often associate with stakeholder engagement in climate change processes, or if relevant GCF projects? * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? Crosstabulation

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Awareness;Capacity	0	1	2	0	0	3
Awareness;Capacity;Dialogue	0	9	9	1	0	19
Awareness;Capacity;Failures	0	3	0	0	0	3
Awareness;Capacity;Formal	0	1	0	0	0	1
Awareness;Capacity;Inclusive	0	13	9	1	0	23
Awareness;Capacity;Informal	0	2	0	0	0	2
Awareness;Capacity;involvement generally ends after consultations	0	1	0	0	0	1
Awareness;Capacity;Knowledge	0	24	29	1	2	56
Awareness;Capacity;mind shift	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Capacity;Success	0	1	4	0	0	5
Awareness;Capacity;Top-down	0	2	1	0	0	3
Awareness;Dialogue	0	1	0	0	0	1
Awareness;Dialogue;Failures	0	2	0	0	0	2
Awareness;Dialogue;Formal	0	0	0	0	1	1
Awareness;Dialogue;Inclusive	0	4	1	0	0	5
Awareness;Dialogue;Informal	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Dialogue;Knowledge	1	6	3	0	1	11
Awareness;Dialogue;relevance	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Dialogue;Success	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Dialogue;Top-down	0	1	1	0	0	2
Awareness;Failures;Knowledge	0	3	0	0	0	3
Awareness;Formal;Knowledge	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Formal;Success	0	1	0	0	0	1
Awareness;Formal;Top-down	0	1	1	1	0	3
Awareness;Inclusive;Informal	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Inclusive;Knowledge	0	3	0	0	0	3
Awareness;Inclusive;Top-down	0	0	1	0	0	1
Awareness;Knowledge;citizen science	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity	0	0	2	0	0	2
Capacity;Dialogue;Inclusive	0	2	1	0	0	3
Capacity;Dialogue;Informal	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Dialogue;Knowledge	0	3	1	0	1	5
Capacity;Dialogue;Success	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Failures;Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Failures;Success	0	0	1	0	0	1
Capacity;Formal;Inclusive	0	0	1	0	0	1
Capacity;Formal;Knowledge	0	0	0	0	1	1
Capacity;Inclusive;Knowledge	0	2	3	0	0	5
Capacity;Inclusive;Success	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Informal;Success	0	0	0	1	0	1
Capacity;Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Knowledge;Research	0	1	0	0	0	1
Capacity;Knowledge;Success	0	0	1	0	0	1
climate change, loans and governments	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dialogue	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dialogue;Failures;Informal	0	0	1	0	0	1

Dialogue;Formal;Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Dialogue;Formal;Success	0	2	0	0	0	2
Dialogue;Inclusive;Knowledge	0	2	1	0	0	3
Dialogue;Knowledge	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dialogue;Knowledge;Top-down	0	0	0	0	1	1
Do not know/Not sure	0	11	6	0	3	20
Failures;Top-down;late	0	0	1	0	0	1
Failures;Top-down;Not inclusive enough.	0	0	1	0	0	1
Formal;Inclusive;Top-down	0	0	1	0	0	1
Formal;Knowledge;Top-down	0	1	0	0	0	1
Informal	0	0	1	0	0	1
Networking, assistance, framework	0	1	0	0	0	1
Top-down;faulty, change-averse	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

3.2b RECODE WHY Impact * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? Crosstabulation

Count

3.2b RECODE WHY Impact	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Co-ercive Power	0	0	2	0	0	2
Do Not Know	0	2	2	0	1	5
Information Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Informational Power	0	2	2	0	0	4
Informational Power & Reward Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Informational Power & Communication & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Informational Power & Expert Power	0	3	0	0	0	3
Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Trust	0	0	1	0	0	1
Informational Power & Reward Power	0	5	1	1	0	7
Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Legitimate Power	0	1	3	0	2	6
Legitimate Power & Informational Power	1	3	2	0	0	6
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	0	0	1	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	0	0	0	1	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	0	3	4	0	0	7
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Charismatic Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	0	4	0	1	5
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	3	1	1	1	6
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust & Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Legitimate Power & Reward Power	0	3	2	0	0	5
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	2	1	0	0	3
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	1	1	0	0	2
Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power	0	1	2	0	0	3
Personal Power	0	2	4	0	0	6
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Information Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Information Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Informational Power	0	1	2	0	0	3
Personal Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	0	0	2	0	1	3
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power& Expert Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power	0	4	0	0	0	4
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Charismatic power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	0	2	1	0	0	3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Inforamntional Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust & Charismatic Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power	0	4	3	0	0	7

Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Charismatic Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	0	4	3	0	0	7
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	4	1	0	0	5
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	2	1	0	0	3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power& Reward Power & Trust	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Capital & Charismatic Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	0	6	1	0	1	8
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power	0	1	2	0	0	3
Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	0	2	0	0	2
Personal Power & Legitimate Power &Reward Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power	0	13	10	0	2	25
Personal Power & Reward Power & Charismatic Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	0	2	4	1	0	7
Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power &Trust & Charismatic Power	0	0	0	1	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power & Legitimate Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power & Referent Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Personal Power & Reward Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Power	0	4	0	0	0	4
Reward Power	0	9	6	0	1	16
Reward Power & Informational Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Reward Power & Informational Power & Charismatic Power	0	1	0	0	0	1
Reward Power & Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Reward Power & Do Not Know	0	1	0	0	0	1
Reward Power & Expert Power	0	1	1	0	0	2
Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	0	0	1	0	0	1
Reward Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Reward Power & Informatonal Power	0	1	1	0	0	2
Reward Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.1) Communication Method: Climate change processes typically use the right methods of communication (social media, radio, town crier) and ensure they are appropriate to my stakeholder group. *

1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

Ranking	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	5	4	0	0	9
2.0	0	23	17	2	5	47
3.0	1	58	42	0	2	103
4.0	0	18	19	2	3	42
5.0	0	8	10	1	0	19
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 4.2) Communication Content: Climate change processes typically engage stakeholders at the appropriate level (information is easily understood and clear). * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RANKING	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	3	3	0	0	6
2.0	0	22	18	2	5	47
3.0	1	48	43	2	2	96
4.0	0	29	20	0	3	52
5.0	0	10	8	1	0	19
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 4.3) Transparency of project governance structures: Typically, projects clearly explain the decision making process and promote shared understanding of objectives. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSE	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	4	2	1	0	7
2.0	0	23	16	1	2	42
3.0	0	40	44	1	3	88
4.0	1	28	17	2	4	52
5.0	0	17	13	0	1	31
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.4) Transparency of information: Typically, projects provide accurate and prompt reports (progress, status updates, key decisions, minutes). * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RANKING	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	4	3	0	0	7
2.0	0	16	16	1	3	36
3.0	0	52	35	2	2	91
4.0	1	28	23	2	4	58
5.0	0	12	15	0	1	28
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.5) Relevance of information: Projects typically provide information and analysis that is technically sound and relevant for my organisation or stakeholder group. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RANKING	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	5	2	1	0	8
2.0	0	18	21	2	3	44
3.0	1	64	45	1	4	115
4.0	0	21	14	1	2	38
5.0	0	4	10	0	1	15
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.6) Information Feedback Process: Projects typically provide opportunities for organisations to ask questions, seek clarification and make a contribution. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RANKING	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total	
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say		
4.6) Information Feedback	1.0	0	4	4	1	1	10
Process: Projects typically	2.0	0	26	20	0	1	47
provide opportunities for	3.0	1	50	39	1	6	97
organisations to ask questions,	4.0	0	22	20	3	2	47
seek clarification and make a	5.0	0	10	9	0	0	19
contribution.							
Total		1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 4.7 Allocation of time for Information exchange: Projects typically allocate sufficient time for issues to be formally raised and addressed, and clearly articulate deadlines for stakeholder review and responses. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

Count

Ranking	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	1	0	1	0	2
2.0	0	22	16	0	1	39
3.0	1	51	48	2	5	107
4.0	0	28	20	2	4	54
5.0	0	10	8	0	0	18
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 4.8) Honouring of Timelines: Projects typically provide information within the agreed timelines. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

Ranking	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	2	3	1	1	7
2.0	0	19	7	1	1	28
3.0	0	59	47	2	4	112
4.0	1	25	26	1	3	56
5.0	0	7	9	0	1	17
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.9) Representation and decision making authority of minority stakeholders in the Agricultural Sector and Climate change processes typically ensure representation in Agricultural Sector (of women, marginalised groups, and Indigenous Peoples). * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

Ranking	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1.0	0	7	2	1	1	11
2.0	0	17	17	0	0	34
3.0	0	46	40	2	4	92
4.0	1	25	21	1	4	52
5.0	0	17	12	1	1	31
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 5.3a) Have you been involved in any projects/programmes/activities that you believe successfully engaged stakeholders? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.) X * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? (BY RESPONDENTS)

RESPONSE	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
No	0	45	36	2	4	87
Yes	1	36	39	2	1	79
Do not know/Not sure	0	16	8	0	4	28
Maybe	0	15	9	1	1	26
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB - 5.3a) Have you been involved in any projects/programmes/activities that you believe successfully engaged stakeholders? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.) X * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity? (AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE GROUPING)

	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?				
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say
No	0%	40.2%	39.1%	40.0%	40.0%
Yes	100%	32.1%	42.4%	40.0%	10.0%
Do not know/Not sure	0%	14.3%	8.7%	0.0%	40.0%
Maybe	0%	13.4%	9.8%	20.0%	10.0%

CROSSTAB -5-1 RECODE Most Important Condition for Effective Stakeholder Engagement * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

Count

	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
Power	0	21	21	1	5	48
Financial Capital	0	23	20	2	1	46
Human Capital	0	22	12	1	2	37
Time	0	10	8	0	0	18
Trust	0	10	6	0	0	16
Power & Authority	0	9	4	0	0	13
Authority	0	3	8	1	0	12
Do Not Know	0	6	4	0	2	12
Technology	1	1	6	0	0	8
Communication	0	3	0	0	0	3
Personal Power	0	2	1	0	0	3
Personal Power & Authority	0	1	1	0	0	2
ALL	0	0	1	0	0	1
Knowledge	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 4.1) Communication Method: Climate change processes typically use the right methods of communication (social media, radio, town crier) and ensure they are appropriate to my stakeholder group. * 1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

RESPONSES	1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?					Total
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say	
1	0	5	4	0	0	9
2	0	23	17	2	5	47
3	1	58	42	0	2	103
4	0	18	19	2	3	42
5	0	8	10	1	0	19
Total	1	112	92	5	10	220

CROSSTAB- 6.3) What do you think is needed to improve stakeholder engagement in future projects/programmes/activities? X Gender Represented RESPONSES (part 3)	GENDER					Grand Total	Percent
	Both Male /Female	Female	Male	Non-Binary	Prefer not to say		
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities;Pay stakeholders for participation in lieu of their usual income-earning activity. Their knowledge is not less than that of salaried project/NGO or Govt employees because it may not have a paper degree		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities;Projects/programmes and decision-making processes are based on relevant knowledge and data.			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulating discussion and dialogue on meaningful issues;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs		1				1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active participation;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Project design and decision making are principally based on meeting community needs;Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunities			1			1	0.5%
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall value to community			1			1	0.5%
Grand Total	1	112	92	5	10	220	100.0%